



Seaford Locality Area Summary



Based on the NHS view of the JSNAA Scorecards 2018
Produced by East Sussex Public Health



East Sussex
Joint Strategic Needs & Assets Assesment
eastsussexjsna.org.uk






Table of Contents

Key statistics	5
Introduction	6
Population	7
Wider determinants	9
Overall health status	10
Healthy lifestyles	10
Pregnancy and infancy	10
Physical activity and excess weight	11
Smoking	11
Alcohol and drug misuse	11
Sexual health	11
Accidents and injuries	11
Health protection	12
Disease and poor health	12
Mental health and wellbeing	12
Circulatory	12
Cancer	12
Respiratory	13
Diabetes	13
Other chronic conditions	13
Avoidable admissions	13
Hospital admissions and attendances	13
Social care	15
Children's convices	<i>A E</i>

Carers	16
Adult social care	16
NHS dental services	16
GP patient survey	16
Appendix 1: Scorecard summary tables	17
Appendix 2: Acronyms and abbreviations	30

Key statistics

	Key Statistics for Seaford Locality		
Ref	Indicator	Number per year	Value
1.01	GP registered population (count), April 2017	27,793	
1.02	GP registered population aged 0-19 yrs (%), April 2017	4,753	17
1.03	GP registered population aged 20-64 yrs (%), April 2017	13,860	50
1.04	GP registered population aged 65+ yrs (%), April 2017	9,180	33
1.18	Live births per 1,000 women aged 15-44 yrs, 2014-16	198	54
2.02	Income Deprivation (as a percentage), from ID 2015 (M)		10
2.04	Children in low-income families (%), Aug 2014 (M)	514	14
3.06	Excess weight in 10-11 year olds (%), 2013/14 to 2015/16 (M)	56	28
3.09	GP reported prevalence of smoking aged 15+ (%), 2016/17	3,265	13
4.04	Life expectancy at birth (yrs), 2014-16		83.4
4.05	Life expectancy at age 75 (yrs), 2014-16		13.3
4.06a	All-cause mortality (SMR), 2014-16	422	90
4.08a	Mortality from causes considered preventable (SMR), 2014-16	46	73
4.15	GP reported prevalence of dementia (%), 2016/17	430	1.5
4.22	GP reported prevalence of hypertension (%), 2016/17	5,916	21.3
4.25	GP reported prevalence of CHD (%), 2016/17	1,355	4.9
4.28	GP reported prevalence of stroke or TIA (%), 2016/17	886	3.2
4.31	GP reported prevalence of atrial fibrillation (%), 2016/17	1,131	4.1
4.33	GP reported prevalence of heart failure (%), 2016/17	354	1.3
4.48	GP reported prevalence of asthma (%), 2016/17	1,947	7.0
4.51	GP reported prevalence of COPD (%), 2016/17	656	2.4
4.56	GP reported prevalence of diabetes aged 17+ (%), 2016/17	1,660	7.0
4.59	GP reported prevalence of epilepsy aged 18+ (%), 2016/17	176	0.7
4.61	GP reported prevalence of CKD aged 18+ (%), 2016/17	1,695	7.2
4.64	GP reported prevalence of learning disabilities aged 18+ (%), 2016/17	104	0.4
4.65	GP reported prevalence of palliative care needs (%), 2016/17	171	0.6
4.71a	First outpatient attendances (SAR), 2016/17	12,916	104
4.73a	A&E (type 1) attendances (SAR), 2016/17	6,831	89
4.74	MIU attendances (per 1,000), 2016/17	853	31
4.78a	All elective admissions (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	5,306	100
4.80a	All emergency admissions (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	2,761	86
6.02	Unpaid carers providing 20 hours or more care per week (%), 2011 (M)	979	29
6.04	Carers known to adult social care (per 1,000 population) 2016/17 (M)	400	17
6.07	Referrals to children's social care (per 1,000 aged under 18 yrs), 2016/17 (M)	126	29
6.17	65+ admitted to perm council supported resid or nursing care (per 100K), 2016/17 (M)	37	405

Significantly worse than ESBT	Significantly better than ESBT
Significantly higher than ESBT	Significantly low er than ESBT
Not significantly different to ESBT	Significance not tested

Introduction

Seaford Locality is one of six localities in the East Sussex Better Together (ESBT) area. This narrative, based on 2018 JSNAA scorecard data, describes Seaford Locality in the ESBT context. A tabulated summary of 187 JSNAA indicators of health and wellbeing, showing localities and CCGs RAG-rated against ESBT, is included (Appendix 1). Individual JSNAA scorecards are referenced in the tables, and titles are flagged with (M) if indicator data has been modelled from LA to NHS geographies.

To best appreciate how localities and CCGs compare to ESBT it is important to read this commentary alongside the JSNAA indicator scorecards. The scorecards also compare GP practices to ESBT.

For indicators where locality or CCG data is not available, values for Lewes District (based on the LA view JSNAA scorecards) are discussed.

Here 'significance' refers to statistical significance at the 95% confidence level.

In Appendix 1 indicator values are given and statistically significant differences between locality and CCG values and ESBT are flagged in red/green or dark blue/light blue. But it is important to bear in mind that:

- where values are based on high counts, even small, possibly unimportant, differences may be statistically significant, and
- where values are based on low counts, even large, possibly important differences may not be statistically significant.

Some rates (for example, the GP-reported prevalence of diabetes) are not agestandardised. If crude rates are reported and the condition mainly affects older people it is important to also take into account the age profile of the population.


Standardised mortality ratios (SMRs) and hospital admission or attendance ratios (SARs) do take into account the age profile of the area. However, they can only be used to compare the locality (or CCG or GP practice) to ESBT, NOT to other localities (or CCGs or GP practices). So even where a locality has the highest SMR (or SAR) of all localities, it does not necessarily follow that the mortality (or hospital admission/attendance) rate is higher than in the other localities.

JSNAA scorecards and area summaries can be downloaded from www.eastsussexjsna.org.uk/

The following products can also be downloaded from the East Sussex JSNAA website:

- GP Practice Profiles and Locality Profiles Spine charts are used to compare the
 GP practice or locality for all available JSNAA indicators.
- Local Needs and Assets Profiles East Sussex, its districts/boroughs and CCGs
 are compared to England. RAG-rated tables similar to those in Appendix 1 (but with
 England as the benchmark) are included.

Population



Seaford Locality has the smallest population of all ESBT localities. It has amongst the lowest percentages of people aged under 65 (significantly lower than ESBT) and the highest percentages of people aged 65 and over, and 85 and over (both significantly higher than ESBT) of all ESBT localities. It has a significantly lower teenage and overall birth rate than ESBT, and lower rates than all other ESBT localities.

Table 1 shows the estimated population changes between 2016 and 2022 for children and young people, working age adults and older adults. Using projections produced by East Sussex County Council, the table shows that over the next 6 years some age groups are projected to increase in size whilst others will decrease in size. The net effect is that the population of Seaford Locality is estimated to increase, with the largest estimated percentage increase in those aged 85 years and over.


Table 1: Population projections for all persons (number and percentage change) showing the increase (positive) or decrease (negative) from 2016 to 2022 (modelled)

	Population projections from 2016 to 2022										
	ES	ВТ	EHS	CCG	Seaford Locality						
Population Change	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%					
0-19 years	1,050	1.3	1,050	2.6	200	4.7					
20-64 years	-2,450	-1.2	-650	-0.6	-350	-2.4					
65+ years	11,100	11.4	5,100	10.1	600	6.6					
85+ years	3,100	20.1	1,700	20.1	300	18.5					
All Ages	10,300	2.7	5,800	3.0	500	2.0					

Note: Numbers may not add up due to rounding

Seaford Locality has one of the highest dependency ratios (of non-working age people compared to working age people) and levels of older people living alone, of all localities, and significantly higher than ESBT. It has significantly lower percentages of non-white British people and pupils with English as an additional language.

Wider determinants



Seaford Locality has significantly lower levels of income deprivation and employment deprivation than ESBT, including: the percentage of older people affected by income deprivation, children in low income families and pupils receiving the pupil premium, rates of working age people claiming ESA, JSA and UC, households with dependent children and no adults in employment (Census 2011) and households in fuel poverty. For most of these indicators Seaford has the lowest values of all ESBT localities.

Compared to ESBT a significantly lower percentage of households have no cars or vans (Census 2011) and a significantly lower percentage (the lowest of all localities) are able to access a GP within 15 minutes by public transport/walking.

Seaford Locality has similar levels of educational achievement to ESBT for pupils at age 5 and 11. Note that it is not possible to determine whether statistically significant differences exist between different areas' GCSE attainment scores (pupils at age 16). It has the lowest rate of pupils with SEN on SEN support and the highest rate with a statement of SEN or an EHCP (though neither rates are significantly different to ESBT). It has a significantly lower rate of working age people with no or low qualifications (Census 2011) and young people who are NEET (in both cases the lowest of all ESBT localities).

Compared to ESBT a significantly lower percentage of households are overcrowded and have no central heating (Census 2011), and values are amongst the best of all ESBT localities. A significantly higher percentage of households are owner-occupied (Census 2011) (the highest of all ESBT localities), and a significantly lower percentage are rented (the lowest of all ESBT localities). Seaford has a similar percentage of people living in care homes to ESBT.

It has a significantly lower rate of recorded crimes and incidents of anti-social behaviour than ESBT. Hospital attendances due to assaults and admissions due to violence are lower, though not significantly.

Overall health status

Self-reported levels of ill health and LLTI or disability are similar to ESBT; life expectancy at birth and at age 75, and all-age, premature and preventable mortality, is significantly better.

Healthy lifestyles

Pregnancy and infancy

This locality has the highest levels of breastfeeding initiation and breastfeeding at 6-8 weeks in ESBT (and significantly higher than ESBT for breastfeeding at 6-8 weeks), while the percentage of low birth weight babies is similar to ESBT. Lewes District has the lowest infant mortality of all districts and boroughs (though not significantly lower than East Sussex).

Physical activity and excess weight

This locality has the lowest levels of overweight or obese reception year and year 6 children (significantly lower than ESBT in reception year). Lewes District has similar levels to East Sussex of adults achieving 150 minutes physical activity per week, but it has the lowest percentage of overweight or obese adults (and significantly lower than East Sussex).

Smoking

Seaford Locality has the lowest GP-reported smoking prevalence and percentage of mothers who are current smokers at the time of delivery of all ESBT localities and significantly lower levels than ESBT. Smoking quitter rates are similar to ESBT. Lewes District has similar smoking-attributable mortality to East Sussex.

Alcohol and drug misuse

This locality has a significantly lower rate of adults in drug treatment than ESBT, but similar rates of adults in alcohol treatment and young people in drug or alcohol treatment. Lewes District has a significantly lower rate of alcohol-related admissions (the lowest of all East Sussex districts/borough), but similar alcohol-related mortality to East Sussex.

Sexual health

In Lewes District under 18s conceptions and chlamydia detection/diagnosis rates are similar to East Sussex. Gonorrhoea diagnostic rates are the highest of all districts and boroughs, though not significantly different to East Sussex.

Accidents and injuries

MIU/A&E attendances and emergency admissions for accidents and injuries in 0-4 year olds are similar to ESBT. The rate of emergency admissions for accidents and injuries in children aged 5-14 years is the lowest of all localities, though not significantly different to ESBT. The emergency admissions rate for falls injuries in older people is similar to ESBT. In Lewes District the rate of people killed or seriously injured on the roads is not significantly different to East Sussex.

Health protection

In Seaford Locality a significantly higher percentage of eligible people receive an NHS Health Check than in ESBT. Uptake of cervical, breast and bowel cancer screening is significantly higher than and, in the latter two cases, higher than in all other ESBT localities. This locality has the highest uptake of most childhood immunisations. A 95% target for vaccination coverage is required nationally to ensure control of vaccine preventable diseases (herd immunity) within the UK routine childhood vaccination programmes. Uptake of seasonal flu vaccination by persons aged 65 years or over is significantly higher than in ESBT.

Disease and poor health

Mental health and wellbeing

In Seaford Locality the incidence of depression and prevalence of severe mental illness is similar to ESBT. Emergency admissions for mental and behavioural disorders and self-harm, and for people with severe mental illness, are at similar levels to ESBT. The prevalence of dementia (not age-standardised) is significantly higher than for ESBT, but elective and emergency admission rates for people with dementia are similar. The CAMHS caseload rate is similar to ESBT, as is the suicide rate, but the rate of working age people claiming ESA due to mental health problems is significantly lower.

Circulatory

Across different circulatory conditions, including hypertension and stroke, Seaford Locality has significantly higher prevalences (not age-standardised) than ESBT. It has the lowest rate of emergency admissions for CHD, and per 1,000 patients on GP CHD registers, but the highest rate of emergency admissions for stroke. Mortality from stroke and premature mortality from circulatory diseases are similar to ESBT.

Cancer

Seaford Locality has the lowest premature mortality from cancer and significantly lower than ESBT. Lewes District has similar incidence and mortality to ESBT for lung, colorectal and

breast cancers. However it has the highest mortality from prostate cancer of all districts/boroughs, though not significantly different to East Sussex.

Respiratory

This locality has a significantly higher asthma prevalence than ESBT, but emergency admissions due to asthma, and per 1,000 patients on GP asthma registers, are amongst the lowest of all ESBT localities. Emergency admissions for COPD are also relatively low, though not significantly different to ESBT. Seaford has the lowest mortality from COPD and premature mortality from respiratory diseases, though not significantly different to ESBT.

Diabetes

Compared to ESBT, Seaford Locality has a similar diabetes prevalence (not agestandardised), emergency admissions for diabetes, and emergency admissions per 1,000 patients on GP diabetes registers.

Other chronic conditions

Seaford Locality has the highest CKD prevalence (significantly higher than ESBT), and the lowest prevalence of adults with learning difficulties (significantly lower than ESBT).


Avoidable admissions


It has significantly lower emergency admissions for chronic and acute ACS conditions than ESBT.

Hospital admissions and attendances


Seaford Locality has a significantly higher rate of outpatient attendances and significantly lower rate of outpatient DNAs than ESBT (and the lowest of all localities). It has the highest rate of MIU attendances (significantly higher than ESBT), but a significantly lower rate of A&E attendances. Rates of A&E attendances for 0-4 year olds and 15-29 year olds are similar to ESBT but significantly lower for people aged 70+. The elective admissions rate for people aged 65+ is significantly higher than for ESBT, whereas emergency admissions, including for people aged 70-84 years, are significantly lower (and amongst the lowest of all ESBT localities).

The following graphs present the age-specific overall attendance and admission rates for the locality compared to ESBT.






Age-specific A&E attendance rates



Age-specific emergency admission rates



Social care

Children's services

Seaford Locality has significantly lower rates than ESBT of referrals to children's social care and children on child protection plans, but a similar rate of looked after children.

Carers

Seaford has amongst the highest percentages of people providing one hour or more of unpaid care per week, but amongst the lowest percentages of unpaid carers providing 20 hours or more care per week. It has the lowest rates of working age people claiming Carers Allowance and carers known to adult social care, and significantly lower than ESBT. It has amongst the lowest rates of carers receiving self-directed support.

Adult social care

Compared to ESBT, Seaford Locality has significantly lower rates of adults receiving direct payments and self-directed support, and working age and older people receiving Long Term Support. The rates are the lowest/amongst the lowest of all ESBT localities. Rates of people aged 65+ receiving community equipment and social care funded lifeline or telecare are similar to East Sussex. The rate of people aged 65+ admitted to council supported residential or nursing care, is somewhat (not significantly) lower than for ESBT.

NHS dental services

Compared to ESBT, significantly higher percentages of children and young people, but significantly lower percentages of adults, access East Sussex NHS general dental services.

GP patient survey

The percentage of patients responding to the GP Patient Survey is significantly higher than in ESBT. Significantly higher percentages of patients report a good experience of their surgery, have a good experience of making an appointment and say that GPs are good at involving them in decisions on care. Similar percentages to ESBT are satisfied with opening hours, and say that nurses are good at involving them in decisions on care.

Appendix 1: Scorecard summary tables

Significantly worse than ESBT Significantly better than ESBT Significantly higher than ESBT Significantly lower than ESBT Not significantly different to ESBT Significance not tested

	Populat	ion								
	Significance comp	ared to ESI	ЗТ							
						Hailsham			Hastings	
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	& Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	& St Leonards	Rural Rother
	Ť		194,800	187,811	118,076	48,931	27,793	47,460	99,674	40,677
1.01	GP registered population (count), April 2017	382,611	21	21	21	21	17	17	23	20
1.02	GP registered population aged 0-19 yrs (%), April 2017	21								
1.03	GP registered population aged 20-64 yrs (%), April 2017	54	54	54	55	51	50	49	58	52
1.04	GP registered population aged 65+ yrs (%), April 2017	25	26	25	24	27	33	34	19	28
1.05	GP registered population aged 85+ yrs (%), April 2017	4.0	4.3	3.6	3.9	4.5	5.6	6.0	2.4	3.6
1.11	Dependency ratio, April 2017	0.71	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.79	0.88	0.90	0.60	0.77
1.12	Lone older person (aged 65+) households (%), 2011 (M)	17	18	16	17	17	20	22	13	17
1.13	Lone parent households (%), 2011 (M)	6	6	7	7	6	5	5	8	5
1.14	Non-White British population (%), 2011 (M)	9	9	8	12	6	6	6	10	5
1.15	Non-White British pupils (%), 2017 (M)	15	16	14	20	11	11	11	16	9
1.16	Pupils with English as an additional language (per 1,000), 2017 (M)	69	80	57	110	35	35	47	72	23
1.18	Live births per 1,000 women aged 15-44 yrs, 2014-16	62	61	62	60	69	54	58	65	58
1.19	Live births per 1,000 women aged 15-19 yrs, 2014-16	16	15	18	17	13	7	15	22	8
1.20	Live births per 1,000 women aged 35-44 yrs, 2014-16	34	34	35	34	33	35	30	35	40

	Wider determinants - Economy, income and transport Significance compared to ESBT											
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother		
2.02	Income Deprivation (as a percentage), from ID 2015 (M)	15	13	18	14	12	10	15	22	11		
2.04	Children in low-income families (%), Aug 2014 (M)	22	19	25	20	19	14	23	28	16		
2.05	Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index (IDAOPI) (as a percentage), from ID 2015 (M)	17	15	18	16	13	10	15	23	12		
2.06	Households in fuel poverty (%), 2015 (M)	10	9	10	9	9	8	9	11	10		
2.07	Pupils receiving the pupil premium (%), Jan 2017 (M)	23	20	26	21	18	16	23	30	19		
2.08	Households with dependent children and no adults in employment (%), 2011 (M)	15	12	17	13	11	10	16	20	10		
2.09	Employment Deprivation (as a percentage), from ID 2015 (M)	13	12	15	13	10	9	14	18	9		
2.10	Working age people claiming JSA and Universal Credit (%), Aug 2017 (M)	2.0	1.4	2.6	1.6	1.0	1.0	1.6	3.6	1.3		
2.11	Working age people claiming ESA (%), Feb 2017 (M)	7	6	8	7	6	5	8	10	5		
2.12	Households with no cars or vans (%), 2011 (M)	25	24	26	27	18	20	24	32	14		
2.13	Households able to access a GP practice in 15 min by public transport/walking (%), 2014 (M)	84	81	86	84	84	66	91	90	69		

	Wider determinar	nts - Educat	ion							
	Significance com	pared to ES	вт							
						Hailsham			Hastings	Donal
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	& Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	& St Leonards	Rural Rother
2.15	Pupils (at age 5) reaching a good level of development in the EYFS (%), Jun 2017 (M)	76	77	76	77	77	77	80	73	78
2.16	Pupils (at age 11) achieving the expected standard at Key Stage 2, Jun 2017 (M)	55	51	58	50	52	57	61	54	66
2.17	Average GCSE Attainment 8 score for pupils (at age 16) at Key Stage 4, Jun 2017 (M)	44	45	43	44	46	46	43	42	46
2.18	Working age population with no or low qualifications (%), 2011 (M)	32	30	34	30	32	29	33	36	30
2.19	Pupils with special educational needs (SEN) on SEN Support (per 1,000), Jan 2017 (M)	93	86	99	85	91	79	97	105	84
2.20	Pupils with a statement of SEN or an EHCP (per 1,000), Jan 2017 (M)	36	34	38	33	34	39	38	38	35
2.21	Young people aged 16-18 yrs NEET (monthly rate per 1,000), Nov 2016 to Jan 2017 (M)	56	49	63	58	36	34	40	81	42

	Wider determinants - Housing Significance compared to ESBT											
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother		
2.25	Households that are overcrowded (%), 2011 (M)	7	8	7	9	5	5	6	9	4		
2.26	Households with no central heating (%), 2011 (M)	3.1	2.5	3.7	2.8	2.1	1.8	2.4	4.5	3.4		
2.27	Households owned outright or with a mortgage/loan (%), 2011 (M)	67	69	64	64	77	78	72	57	74		
2.28	Socially rented households (%), 2011 (M)	12	11	12	12	10	7	9	14	12		
2.29	Privately rented households (%), 2011 (M)	20	18	22	22	11	13	17	28	12		
2.30	Persons living in care homes with nursing (%), 2011 (M)	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.6	1.1	0.4	0.3		
2.31	Persons living in care homes without nursing (%), 2011 (M)	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.3	1.0	0.8		

	Wider determina	ants - Crim	е							
	Significance compared to ESBT									
						Hailsham			Hastings	
						&			& St	Rural
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Leonards	Rother
2.33	Recorded crimes (per 1,000 population), 2016/17 (M)	64	57	70	68	44	37	52	91	39
2.34	Recorded incidents of Anti-Social Behaviour (per 1,000 population), 2016/17 (M)	27	25	29	30	17	18	24	38	15
2.35	A&E attendances by 15-59 yr olds for assaults, 8pm-4am (per 1,000), 2014/15 to 2016/17	1.6	1.7	1.5	2.0	1.3	1.1	1.3	1.7	1.0
2.36a	Emergency admissions for violence (SAR), 2014/15 to 2016/17	100	76	124	86	56	65	80	161	68

Overall health status Significance compared to ESBT										
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
4.01	People reporting that their health is bad or very bad (%), 2011 (M)	6	6	7	6	6	6	8	7	5
4.02	People reporting a limiting long-term health problem or disability (%), 2011 (M)	22	21	23	21	21	23	27	22	20
4.04	Life expectancy at birth (yrs), 2014-16	81.7	82.3	81.1	82.3	81.8	83.4	81.4	79.7	83.5
4.05	Life expectancy at age 75 (yrs), 2014-16	12.7	12.9	12.4	13.0	12.7	13.3	12.7	11.7	13.5
4.06a	All-cause mortality (SMR), 2014-16	100	96	105	96	100	90	101	119	85
4.07a	Premature all-cause mortality (SMR), 2014-16	100	95	105	97	102	76	98	125	74
4.08a	Mortality from causes considered preventable (SMR), 2014-16	100	94	106	93	108	73	98	127	75

Healthy Lifestyles - Pregnancy and infancy Significance compared to ESBT										
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
3.01	Low birth weight (%), 2014-16	6	6	7	7	4	5	7	7	5
3.02	Breastfeeding initiation (%), 2016/17	80	83	78	84	80	85	74	78	81
3.03	Breastfeeding prevalence at 6-8 weeks after birth (%), 2016/17 (M)	49	53	45	52	53	61	44	43	53
4.09	Infant mortality (per 1,000 live births), 2014-16	3.6	3.6	3.5	4.9				4.2	

	Healthy Lifestyles - Physical a Significance comp		•	ght						
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
3.04	Adults achieving 150+ minutes physical activity per week (%), 2015/16									
3.05	Excess weight in 4-5 year olds (%), 2013/14 to 2015/16 (M)	22	21	23	22	21	17	23	24	21
3.06	Excess weight in 10-11 year olds (%), 2013/14 to 2015/16 (M)	32	32	32	34	31	28	33	33	29
3.07	Excess weight in adults (%), 2013-2015									

	Healthy Lifestyle Significance comp									
	Organica comp		וכ			Hailsham &			Hastings & St	Rural
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Leonards	Rother
3.09	GP reported prevalence of smoking aged 15+ (%), 2016/17	18	16	20	17	14	13	17	24	15
3.10	Smoking quitters at 4 weeks (per 100,000 aged 16+yrs), 2016/17 (M)	323	287	361	321	173	336	390	403	222
3.11	Mothers known to be smokers at the time of delivery (%), 2016/17	14	12	16	13	14	6	14	18	11
3.12	Smoking-attributable deaths in persons aged 35+ yrs (DSR per 100,000), 2012-2014									

	Healthy Lifestyles - Alco Significance comp		_							
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
3.13	Young people in drug or alcohol treatment (per 10,000 aged 0-18 yrs), Sep 16 to Aug 17 (M)	21	18	23	19	16	21	20	27	16
3.14	Adults aged 19+ in alcohol treatment (per 10,000), 2016/17 (M)	19	18	20	20	12	15	14	28	10
3.15	Alcohol-related admissions (DSR per 100,000), 2015/16		634	665						
3.16	Alcohol-related mortality (DSR per 100,000), 2015									
3.17	Adults aged 19+ in drug treatment (per 10,000), 2016/17 (M)	45	42	49	55	23	24	24	75	16

	Healthy Lifestyles - Significance comp									
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
3.30	Under 18s conceptions (per 1,000 females aged 15-17), 2015									
3.31	Chlamydia detection rate in persons aged 15-24 (per 100,000), 2016	1,622	1,580	1,664						
3.32	Chlamydia diagnostic rate in persons aged 25+ (per 100,000), 2016	117	121	113						
3.33	Gonorrhoea diagnostic rate (per 100,000), 2016	26	27	24						

	Healthy Lifestyles - Acc Significance comp		_							
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
3.34	MIU and A&E attendances for accidents & injuries in 0-4 yr olds (per 10,000), 2016/17	1,616	1,605	1,628	1,656	1,596	1,348	1,704	1,688	1,326
3.35	Emerg'y admiss'ns for accidents & injuries in 0-4 yr olds (per 10,000), 2014/15 to 2016/17	178	156	201	159	145	158	201	204	191
3.36	Emerg'y admiss'ns for accidents & injuries in 5-14 yr olds (per 10,000), 2014/15 to 2016/17	91	81	100	84	81	68	99	102	94
3.37	Emerg'y admiss'ns for accidents and injuries in 15-24 yr olds (per 10,000), 2014/15 to 2016/17	122	101	145	92	105	141	123	157	135
3.38a	Emergency admissions for falls injuries for people aged 65+ (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	103	97	104	105	96	96	104	86
3.39	People killed or seriously injured on East Sussex roads (per 100,000), 2013 to 2015									

	Health protection - Health		Ŭ							
	Significance comp	pared to ES	ы			Hailsham &			Hastings & St	Rural
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Leonards	Rother
3.18	Eligible people aged 40-74 who received an NHS Health Check (%), Apr 2013 to Mar 2017	45	45	46	47	37	49	55	46	34
3.19	Eligible women aged 25-64 screened for cervical cancer (%), at Mar 2016	75	75	74	74	78	77	75	73	78
3.20	Eligible women aged 50-70 screened for breast cancer (%), at Mar 2016	72	73	72	70	76	77	76	68	75
3.21	Eligible people aged 60-69 screened for bowel cancer (%), at Mar 2016	60	60	60	58	62	65	64	55	63

	Health protection - Significance comp									
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
3.22	Children immunised for DTaP/IPV/Hib by age 1 (%), 2016/17	94	96	92	96	94	95	93	91	96
3.23	Children immunised for pneumococcal infection by age 2 (%), 2016/17	95	96	94	96	94	97	93	95	93
3.24	Children immunised for Hib/MenC by age 2 (%), 2016/17	94	95	93	95	93	97	93	93	94
3.25	Children immunised for measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) by age 2 (%), 2016/17	93	94	92	94	90	96	91	92	91
3.26	Children immunised for DTaP/IPV by age 5 (%), 2016/17	91	92	90	92	93	94	93	88	93
3.27	Children immunised for measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) by age 5 (%), 2016/17	91	92	90	92	93	92	92	88	92
3.28	People aged 65+ receiving seasonal flu vaccination (%), Sep 2016 to Jan 2017	71	71	71	72	68	75	75	68	69
3.29	People aged 65+ ever receiving a pneumococcal vaccination (%), at 31 Mar 2017	70	68	71	68	65	69	76	68	69

	Disease and poor hea	lth - Mental	health							
	Significance comp	ared to ESI	вт							
						Hailsham			Hastings	
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	& Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	& St Leonards	Rural Rother
4.10	GP reported incidence of depression in persons aged 18+ (%), 2016/17	12.4	13.1	11.6	13.1	14.1	11.5	11.8	12.7	8.8
4.11	GP reported prevalence of severe mental illness (%), 2016/17	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.3	1.5	0.7
4.12a	Emergency admissions for mental and behavioural disorders (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	89	111	101	69	76	91	140	71
4.13a	Emergency admissions, people with severe mental illness (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	88	113	85	97	83	98	145	57
4.14a	Emergency admissions relating to self-harm (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	90	110	86	88	116	99	131	66
4.15	GP reported prevalence of dementia (%), 2016/17	1.2	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.7	0.9	0.9
4.16	GP reported versus expected prevalence of dementia at age 65+ (ratio), 31 Jan 17	63	64	61						
4.17a	Elective admissions, people 30+ with dementia (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	112	86	105	145	89	87	99	61
4.18a	Emergency admissions, people 30+ with dementia (SAR), 2015/16 & 2016/17	100	103	96	102	110	97	94	116	67
4.19	CAMHS caseload (per 1,000 aged 0-18 yrs), Mar 2017	23	25	22	24	26	26	23	23	18
4.20	Working age people claiming ESA for mental health problems (per 1,000), Feb 2017 (M)	35	31	40	34	24	25	38	48	20
4.21a	Mortality from suicide (SMR), 2013-16	100	99	102	90	127	85	88	125	60

	Disease and poor he									
Ref	Significance comp	ESBT		HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
4.22	GP reported prevalence of hypertension (%), 2016/17	17.6	17.5	17.7	16.1	18.8	21.3	22.4	15.1	18.7
4.23	GP reported versus expected prevalence of hypertension (ratio), 2014/15	61	62	61	60	63	64	65	59	61
4.24	GP reported prevalence of high blood pressure without established CVD (%), 2016/17	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.3	1.7
4.25	GP reported prevalence of CHD (%), 2016/17	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.7	4.4	4.9	5.5	3.4	3.8
4.26a	Emergency admissions for CHD (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	88	113	89	88	85	106	127	94
4.27	Emergency admissions for CHD per 1,000 on GP CHD registers, 2016/17	58	50	66	55	46	41	58	75	62
4.28	GP reported prevalence of stroke or TIA (%), 2016/17	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.6	3.2	3.3	2.1	2.3
4.29a	Emergency admissions for stroke (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	102	98	95	111	111	91	108	89
4.30a	Mortality from stroke (SMR), 2013-16	100	100	100	91	115	106	97	109	91
4.31	GP reported prevalence of atrial fibrillation (%), 2016/17	3.0	3.2	2.8	3.0	3.4	4.1	4.0	2.1	3.2
4.32	GP reported versus expected prevalence of atrial fibrillation (ratio), 2014/15	74	78	70	77	78	80	74	64	72
4.33	GP reported prevalence of heart failure (%), 2016/17	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.5	0.9	0.9
4.34	GP reported prevalence of PAD (%), 2016/17	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.7
4.35a	Premature mortality from circulatory diseases (SMR), 2013-16	100	91	109	88	99	88	90	136	77

	Disease and poor h	nealth - Can	cer							
	Significance comp	ared to ES	ЗТ							
						Hailsham			Hastings	Rural
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	& Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	& St Leonards	Rother
4.36	Incidence of lung cancer (DSR per 100,000), 2013-2015	69	67	71						
4.37	Mortality from lung cancer (DSR per 100,000), 2013-15	51	48	54						
4.38	Incidence of colorectal cancer (DSR per 100,000), 2013-2015	70	69	72						
4.39	Mortality from colorectal cancer (DSR per 100,000), 2013-15	28	25	31						
4.40	Incidence of breast cancer (DSR per 100,000 women), 2013-2015	170	163	176						
4.41	Mortality from breast cancer (DSR per 100,000 women), 2013-15	40	40	40						
4.42	Incidence of prostate cancer (DSR per 100,000 men), 2013-2015	184	181	187						
4.43	Mortality from prostate cancer (DSR per 100,000 men), 2013-15	46	47	45						
4.44	Incidence of all cancers (DSR per 100,000), 2013-2015	595	591	601						
4.46	Mortality from all cancers (DSR per 100,000), 2013-15	274	268	280						
4.47a	Premature mortality from cancer (SMR), 2013-16	100	98	102	103	98	80	103	110	87

	Disease and poor hea									
Ref	Significance comp Indicator	eared to ES	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
4.48	GP reported prevalence of asthma (%), 2016/17	6.3	6.6	6.0	6.4	7.0	7.0	6.7	5.6	6.1
4.49a	Emergency admissions for asthma (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	91	109	100	79	72	114	122	70
4.50	Emergency admissions for asthma per 1,000 on GP asthma registers, 2016/17	15	13	17	15	12	10	17	19	13
4.51	GP reported prevalence of COPD (%), 2016/17	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.8	2.6	1.9
4.52a	Emergency admissions for COPD (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	88	113	84	100	82	104	152	53
4.53	Emergency admissions for COPD per 1,000 on GP COPD registers, 2016/17	88	85	91	82	85	96	98	98	60
4.54a	Mortality from COPD (SMR), 2013-16	100	87	115	89	91	74	100	141	92
4.55a	Premature mortality from respiratory diseases (SMR), 2013-16	100	86	114	92	88	65	102	146	67

	Disease and poor h	ealth - Diab	etes							
	Significance comp	pared to ES	вт							
						Hailsham			Hastings	
						&			& St	Rural
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Leonards	Rother
4.56	GP reported prevalence of diabetes aged 17+ (%), 2016/17	6.9	6.7	7.1	6.4	7.1	7.0	8.2	6.8	6.7
4.57a	Emergency admissions for diabetes (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	106	94	109	98	108	82	117	56
4.58	Emergency admissions for diabetes per 1,000 on GP diabetes registers, 2016/17	16	17	15	18	15	16	13	18	10

	Disease and poor healt! Significance comp									
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
4.59	GP reported prevalence of epilepsy aged 18+ (%), 2016/17	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.6
4.60a	Premature mortality from liver disease (SMR), 2013-16	100	82	118	81	79	91	98	154	61
4.61	GP reported prevalence of CKD aged 18+ (%), 2016/17	5.3	6.2	4.3	5.6	7.1	7.2	5.3	3.8	4.4
4.64	GP reported prevalence of learning disabilities aged 18+ (%), 2016/17	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.8	0.6
4.65	GP reported prevalence of palliative care needs (%), 2016/17	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4
2.24	Mortality attributable to manmade particulate air pollution (%) in adults aged 30+, 2015									

	Disease and poor health - Significance comp									
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
4.66a	Emergency admissions for LRTIs in under 20s (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	106	94	106	103	109	87	103	71
4.67a	Emergency admiss'ns for diabetes, epilepsy, asthma in under 20s (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	92	109	108	60	72	117	120	67
4.68a	Emergency admissions for chronic ACS conditions (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	90	111	91	89	87	103	134	74
4.69a	Emergency admissions for acute ACS conditions (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	103	96	111	100	82	91	106	80
4.70a	Emerg'y adm'ns for other & vaccine preventable ACS conditions (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	100	99	101	103	95	85	122	76

	Disease and poor health - Hospital	attendanc	es and admi	ssions						
	Significance comp	ared to ES	вт							
						Hailsham			Hastings	
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	& Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	& St Leonards	Rural Rother
4.71a	First outpatient attendances (SAR), 2016/17	100	97	103	96	97	104	104	106	94
4.72	Outpatient appointments where the patient did not attend (%), 2016/17	8.0	7.4	8.6	7.8	7.2	6.2	7.2	9.9	7.0
4.73a	A&E (type 1) attendances (SAR), 2016/17	100	100	100	103	98	89	96	111	79
4.74	MIU attendances (per 1,000), 2016/17	7	10	3	4	14	31	3	3	6
4.75	A&E (type 1) attendances for 0-4 yr olds (per 1,000), 2016/17	421	419	424	430	389	428	420	451	333
4.76	A&E (type 1) attendances for persons aged 15-29 (per 1,000), 2016/17	307	306	308	310	299	296	296	335	240
4.77	A&E (type 1) attendances for persons aged 70+ (per 1,000), 2016/17	379	398	358	406	412	357	367	392	287
4.78a	All elective admissions (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	105	94	105	109	100	99	94	90
4.79	Elective admissions for persons aged 65+ (per 1,000), 2015/16 to 2016/17	336	361	310	364	359	354	323	298	313
4.80a	All emergency admissions (SAR), 2015/16 to 2016/17	100	95	106	97	95	86	101	117	86
4.81	Emergency admissions for persons aged 70-84 yrs (per 1,000), 2015/16 to 2016/17	194	191	197	196	193	175	191	228	155
4.82	Emergency admissions for persons aged 85+ (per 1,000), 2015/16 to 2016/17	483	490	475	486	521	458	480	484	448

	Children's s	services								
	Significance com	pared to ES	вт							
						Hailsham &			Hastings & St	Rural
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Leonards	Rother
6.07	Referrals to children's social care (per 1,000 aged under 18 yrs), 2016/17 (M)	41	35	47	37	32	29	41	57	25
6.08	Children on a child protection plan (per 1,000 aged under 18 yrs), 31 March 2017 (M)	6	3	8	3	5	2	6	12	1
6.09	Looked after children (per 1,000 aged under 18 yrs), 31 March 2017 (M)	6.1	5.3	6.9	4.9	5.7	6.1	6.8	7.8	4.8

	Carer	'S								
	Significance comp	pared to ESI	ВТ							
						Hailsham			Hastings	
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	FUE CCC	LID CCC	Footbo	& Delegate	Conford	Bexhill	& St	Rural Rother
Rei	marcator	ESDI	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Polegate	Seaford	Бехіііі	Leonards	Rother
6.01	People providing one hour or more of unpaid care per week (%), 2011 (M)	11.4	11.3	11.5	10.9	11.6	12.5	12.7	10.7	12.0
6.02	Unpaid carers providing 20 hours or more care per week (%), 2011 (M)	36	35	37	36	35	29	38	39	32
6.03	Working age people claiming Carers Allowance (%), Feb 2017 (M)	1.8	1.7	2.0	1.7	1.7	1.3	2.0	2.1	1.7
6.04	Carers known to adult social care (per 1,000 population) 2016/17 (M)	20	18	21	18	20	17	24	21	18
6.05	Carers (known to adult social care) receiving a service (%), 2016/17 (M)	93	91	94	90	91	91	95	95	93
6.06	Carers receiving self-directed support (per 1,000 aged 18+), 2016/17 (M)	8	7	9	6	7	6	10	9	7

	Adult soc	ial care								
	Significance com	pared to ES	BT							
						Hailsham			Hastings	Demail
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	& Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	& St Leonards	Rural Rother
6.10	Adults receiving direct payments (per 1,000), at 31st July 2017 (M)	4	3	5	3	4	2	4	5	4
6.11	Adults receiving self-directed support (per 1,000), 31st July 2017 (M)	12	12	13	12	13	10	14	14	9
6.12	Working age people receiving Long Term Support (per 1,000), 2016/17 (M)	9	8	11	8	8	6	12	12	6
6.13	People aged 65+ receiving Long Term Support (per 1,000), 2016/17 (M)	30	30	30	30	34	24	27	35	25
6.14	Learning disabled aged 18-64 in settled accommodation (%), Aug 2016 to July 2017 (M)	74	78	71	74	84	82	80	74	46
6.15	Adults receiving community equipment (per 1,000), 2016/17 (M)	15	16	15	15	17	15	17	14	13
6.16	Adults receiving adult social care funded lifeline or telecare (per 1,000), 2016/17 (M)	20	21	19	20	23	21	23	17	16
6.17	65+ admitted to perm council supported resid or nursing care (per 100K), 2016/17 (M)	539	546	531	503	743	405	583	693	160
6.18	New ASC clients receiving services, not asking for more ongoing (%), 2016/17 (M)	100	100	99	100	100	100	99	99	99

NHS dental services Significance compared to ESBT Hailsham Hastings & St Rural Indicator ESBT EHS CCG HR CCG Eastbn. Polegate Seaford Bexhill Leonards Ref Rother 76 80 3.40 Residents aged 0-17 accessing East Sussex NHS general dental services (%), 2016/17 (M) 75 80 75 74 54 57 Residents aged 18-64 accessing East Sussex NHS general dental services (%), 2016/17 (M) 54 53 3.41 51 58 54 57 58 62 54 Residents aged 65+ accessing East Sussex NHS general dental services (%), 2016/17 (M) 3.42

	GP patient Significance comp		вт							
Ref	Indicator	ESBT	EHS CCG	HR CCG	Eastbn.	Hailsham & Polegate	Seaford	Bexhill	Hastings & St Leonards	Rural Rother
5.01	Patients responding to the GP Patient Survey (%), 2016/17	46	47	45	43	54	56	51	40	56
5.02	Patients whose experience of their GP surgery was good (%), 2016/17	87	89	85	88	86	94	88	79	95
5.03	Patients whose experience of making appointments was good (%), 2016/17	76	77	76	77	72	83	76	69	92
5.04	Patients satisfied with GP surgery's opening hours (%), 2016/17	79	80	77	80	79	81	76	75	84
5.05	Patients who said the GP was good at involving them in decisions on care (%), 2016/17	75	77	73	76	76	83	77	68	81
5.06	Patients who said the nurse was good at involving them in decisions on care (%), 2016/17	67	68	67	69	65	69	66	67	67

Appendix 2: Acronyms and abbreviations

A&E Accident and Emergency
ACS Ambulatory Care Sensitive

AF Atrial Fibrillation
ASC Adult Social Care
BP Blood Pressure

CAMHS Child and Adolescent Mental Health Services

CCG Clinical Commissioning Group
CHD Coronary Heart Disease
CKD Chronic Kidney Disease

COPD Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

CV Cardiovascular

CVD Cardiovascular Disease

DM Diabetes Mellitus (used for diabetes QOF clinical domain)

DNA Did Not Attend

DSR Directly Standardised Rate

DTaP/IPV/Hib Diphtheria, tetanus, pertussis (whooping cough), polio and Haemophilus influenzae

type b (also known as the 5 in 1 vaccine)

EHCP Education, Health and Care Plan
EHS Eastbourne, Hailsham and Seaford
ESA Employment and Support Allowance

ESBT East Sussex Better Together
EYFS Early Years Foundation Stage
Hib Haemophilus influenzae type b
HIV Human Immunodeficiency Virus

HR Hastings and Rother

HWLH High Weald Lewes Havens

ID Income Deprivation

ID 2015 Indices of Deprivation, 2015

IDACI Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index IDAOPI Income Deprivation Affecting Older People Index

IMD Index of Multiple Deprivation

JSA Job Seekers Allowance

LD Learning Disability

LLTI Limiting Long-Term Illness

LRTI Lower Respiratory Tract Infection

LSOA Lower Super Output Area
MenC Meningococcal C conjugate

MH Mental Health
MIU Minor Injury Unit

MMR Measles, mumps and rubella MRC Medical Research Council

NEET Not in Education, Employment or Training

PAD Peripheral Arterial Disease

PCV Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine
PHOF Public Health Outcomes Framework
PPV Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine
QOF Quality and Outcomes Framework

RAG Red Amber Green

RCP Royal College of Physicians

SAR Standardised Attendance Ratio/ Standardised Admissions Ratio

SEN Special Educational Needs
SMR Standardised Mortality Ratio
STIs Sexually Transmitted Infections

UC Universal Credit