What are long-term conditions? Why is the presence of multiple long term conditions (multi-morbidity) important?

A long term condition is any medical condition that cannot currently be cured but can be managed with the use of medication and/or other therapies.\(^{1,2}\)

Common long term conditions (LTCs) include diabetes, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic heart failure, osteoporosis and dementia.

Most LTCs are more prevalent in older age groups – for example, the prevalence of diabetes rises steadily with age in men and women peaking at 22% for men and 17% for women in their eighties. Similarly, the prevalence of dementia is very low for men and women aged 60-64 at 0.9% but rises to nearly 15% for men and 20% women in their late eighties.

There is also a strong link between LTCs and social inequalities. Compared to the highest social class, people in the lowest social class have a 60% higher prevalence of LTCs and 30% higher severity of conditions.\(^3\)

Multi-morbidity can be defined as where a person has two or more long term health conditions. This can include having a long term physical problem and a long term mental health problem such as severe depression.

Increases in life expectancy, impacts of changes in lifestyle, and advances in medical technology mean that more people are living with multiple long-term conditions. The number of very old people in the population, aged 85 years and over, is set to increase substantially over the next 20 years,\(^4\) with multi-morbidity being the norm in this age group.\(^5\)

Unfortunately disability free life expectancy is rising more slowly than total life expectancy which means that people are living for more years with disabilities. These changes in life expectancy pose major challenges to the health and social care system.

Multi-morbidity is associated with: premature mortality; functional impairment/disability; a negative impact on the ability to work; an increased risk of hospital admission with an increased length of stay; poor quality of life; and a greater risk of adverse drug events.\(^6\)

Patients with severe mental health problems such as severe, recurrent depression and dementia are at a higher risk of developing long term physical problems. Furthermore, the risk of having mental health problems is increased in people with long term physical problems.

Patients with multi-morbidity have a high treatment burden in terms of understanding and self-managing their conditions, attending multiple appointments and managing complex drug regimes.\(^7\)

Epidemiology of multi-morbidity

One widely quoted method for describing the epidemiology of multi-morbidity comes from a primary care study in Scotland.\(^8\) This reported that 82% of those aged 85 years or older had two or more LTCs.

Health problems [morbidities] were defined as “having significant impact over at least the most recent year and with significant impact on patients in terms of need for chronic treatment, reduced function, reduced quality of life, and risk of future morbidity and mortality.” The study did not include prevalence of obesity as a risk factor, and did not analyse long term conditions in males and females separately.\(^9\) This limits to some extent the generalisability of the findings.
Health inequalities in multi-morbidity

A similar analysis in a sample of GP patient records defined multi-morbidity as the presence of two or more out of 36 problems recorded in patients' medical records.\(^\text{10}\)

Multi-morbidity was associated with female sex, increased age, and lower socio-economic status. Physical and mental comorbidity made up a substantial proportion of all patients with multi-morbidity (34%).

Multi-morbidity was highly associated with increased rates of GP consultations, prescriptions, and hospitalisations.

In Figures 1 and 2 below, in each age group column 1 in turquoise is the quintile [fifth of the population] with the least degree of socioeconomic deprivation. Column 5 in green is the quintile with the greatest socioeconomic deprivation.

There is a direct relationship between socioeconomic deprivation and the percent of people with multi-morbidity across all age bands apart from the very oldest.

Figure 1 shows the increase in multi-morbidity with age and degree of deprivation.

Figure 2 shows the variation in the presence of mental and physical ill health problems by age groups and degree of socio-economic deprivation.

The numbers of over 65s with two or more long term conditions is expected to rise from just over a half [54%] in 2015 to more than two thirds [68%] by 2035.\(^\text{1}\)

In 2015, nearly 10\% of all over 65s in England had four or more long term conditions. This is predicted to rise to 17\% by 2035. One third of people with four or more conditions will have mental health problems as well [dementia, cognitive impairment but not dementia, and depression].\(^\text{11}\)

In the over 85s the percentage with four or more long term conditions is expected to increase from 15\% to 40\% by 2035.

The expected gain in life expectancy over the next 20 years (an extra 3.6 years in men and 2.9 years in women) is less than the gain in years to be spent with multi-morbidity (5.5 years for men, 5.0 years for women). Two-thirds or more of the gain in life expectancy will be spent with four or more long term conditions.

A study from Kent Public Health Observatory in 2016 describes the prevalence and

---

1 The includes arthritis, cancers, dementia, depression, diabetes, high blood pressure [hypertension], respiratory disease, and stroke.
distribution of multi-morbidity in Kent, based on those long-term conditions which appear in the GP Quality and Outcomes Framework.\(^2\)

Figure 3 clearly demonstrates the increase in long term conditions by five year age bands (using different colour codes for the number of long term conditions).

Figure 3: prevalence of LTCs by age in Kent

![Figure 3: Prevalence of LTCs by Age in Kent](image)

Source: Kent PHO

### What is the local picture in East Sussex?

No analyses of multi-morbidity using local primary care data have been undertaken in East Sussex.

The over 65s now represent a quarter of the East Sussex population and are projected to make up nearly a third of all people in the county by 2031. All elderly age groups are expected to increase in size, with the number of very elderly people aged 85 and over in East Sussex expected to increase by 63%, from around 21,700 in 2016 to 35,300 in 2031.

Using the Scottish estimates of long term condition prevalence, PHE have estimated the prevalence for two or more long term conditions for local authorities in the SE Region, using the 2011 population.\(^3\) These are shown for East Sussex as percentages in each broad age band in Tables 1 and 2.

East Sussex county had the highest estimated proportions of people with two or more co-

### Table 1: Two or more long term conditions estimated % prevalence (males) by LA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LA</th>
<th>0-24</th>
<th>25-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65-84</th>
<th>85+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastbourne</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewes</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rother</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealden</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Sussex</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Region</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PHE

### Table 2: Two or more long term conditions estimated % prevalence (females) by LA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LA</th>
<th>0-24</th>
<th>25-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65-84</th>
<th>85+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastbourne</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewes</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rother</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealden</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Sussex</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Region</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PHE

In 2018 this gives an estimated East Sussex total of 70,000 for males and 90,300 for females with two or more long term conditions across all ages. The greatest numbers are in the 65-84 age bands for both males and females.

By 2028 the number of males with two or more LTCs will be approximately 80,000 males and 101,000 females.

The estimates for local authorities in East Sussex taken from the PHE report are shown for physical and mental co-morbidity in Tables 3 and 4.
Table 3 Physical and mental co-morbidity estimated % prevalence (males) by LA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LA</th>
<th>0-24</th>
<th>25-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65-84</th>
<th>85+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastbourne</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewes</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rother</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealden</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Sussex</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Region</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PHE

Table 4 Physical and mental co-morbidity estimated % prevalence (females) by LA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LA</th>
<th>0-24</th>
<th>25-44</th>
<th>45-64</th>
<th>65-84</th>
<th>85+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastbourne</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hastings</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewes</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rother</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wealden</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Sussex</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Region</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PHE

There is a higher estimated prevalence of physical and mental comorbidity among middle aged and older people in Eastbourne and Hastings local authorities.

In contrast to other age groups, people aged 85 years and over are estimated to have similar levels of multi-morbidity regardless of their local authority of residence.

Who has most risk of developing multi-morbidity?

As described above, age and deprivation are the biggest risk factors. Those who live in deprived areas develop multi-morbidity 10-15 years earlier than those from more affluent areas.

Lifestyle factors also have a role:

Smoking, harmful drinking, physical inactivity and unhealthy diets, alone or in combination, have been shown progressively to increase the likelihood of having multiple health problems. 14,15,16,17

The prevalence rates of current [and past] smoking, obesity/overweight, and hazardous alcohol consumption are known to be greatest in the deprived wards of Hastings and Eastbourne.

Younger cohorts have a higher prevalence of obesity than previous generations. 18 This may contribute to the increased prevalence of multi-morbidity in those under 65 years of age.

The House of Care Model

NHS England has introduced the House of care model as a way of managing long term care. 19,20

Figure 4: The House of Care Model

The barriers to care for people with long term conditions can best be summed up as failure to provide integrated care around the person:

- **Single condition services**: services dealing with single conditions only and adopting single condition guidelines (with attendant dangers of polypharmacy, and excluding a holistic approach to service users).
- **Lack of care coordination**: people being unaware of whom to approach when they have a problem, and nobody having a generalist’s ‘bird’s eye’ view of the total care and support needs of an individual.
- **Emotional and psychological support**: in particular, a lack of attention to the mental health and wellbeing of people with ‘physical’ health problems (as well as failure to deal with the physical health of
people who have a mental disorder as their primary long term condition).

- **Fragmented care**: the healthcare system remaining within its own economy, and not being considered in a whole system approach with social care or other services important to people with long term conditions (e.g. transport, employment, benefits, housing). Failure to support people with ‘more than medicine’ as provided by, for example, third and voluntary sectors.

- **Lack of informational continuity**: care records which can’t be accessed between settings, or to which patients themselves don’t have access.

- **Reactive services, not predictive services**: failure to identify vulnerable people who might then be given extra help to avoid hospital admission or deterioration/complications of their condition(s).

- **Lack of care planning consultation**: services which treat people as passive recipients of care rather than encouraging self-care and recognising the person as the expert on how his/her condition affects their life.

The House of Care model is useful for drawing together the building blocks of integrated care to include the essential elements of continuity:

- people and their families/carers have access to information about their conditions and how to access services; health and social care professionals have the right information and records needed to provide the right care at the right time.

- a coherent approach to the management of a person’s condition(s) and care which spans different services, achieved through people and providers drawing up collaborative care plans.

- a consistent relationship between a person, family, and carers and one or more health and social care providers over time (and these providers having consistent relationships with each other), so that people are able to turn to known individuals to coordinate their care.

## Conclusions

The ability to live and cope with multiple long term conditions depends on both individual and wider social factors.

First-hand accounts of people living with long term conditions give valuable insights into the challenges faced and which can inform local service planning. 21

Considering individuals’ experiences over time illustrates that it is not the specific conditions, nor even the number of conditions a person has that makes the greatest difference to people being able to manage their changing health conditions, but instead how well they are supported and cared for.

A NICE guideline covers optimising care for adults with multiple long-term conditions. Implementing this should reduce multiple drug prescriptions, multiple appointments and unplanned care. Managing several long-term conditions in isolation for a person is no longer considered appropriate.

Promoting shared decisions based on what is important to each person in terms of treatments, health priorities, lifestyles and personal goals remains a key objective. 22

Better lifestyle risk factor management should improve outcomes for many people with multi-morbidity. 23

### Links to main evidence sources

**NHS England**
[Available online at this link](#)

**British Medical Association (BMA)**
Paper (1) social determinants of health
Paper (4) living with long term conditions.
Available online at this link

Age UK

Department of Health (DH)
Comorbidities: a framework of principles for system-wide action. (2014) Available online at this link

Long term conditions ISNA Commissioning for value packs December 2016 for EHS, HR and HWLH CCGs: http://www.eastsussexjsna.org.uk/profiles/CfVLTC

1 This is in contrast to acute conditions which typically have a finite duration such as a respiratory infection or inguinal hernia or a mild episode of depression
2 British Medical Association (BMA) Growing older in the UK: a series of expert-authored briefing papers on ageing and health Available online at this link
4 Office for National Statistics 2016 MYE
7 Treatment burden should be included in clinical practice guidelines https://www.bmj.com/content/363/bmj.k4065
9 www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736%2812%2961794-2/fulltext